State Space Models

• Recall the innovations form of the state space model that we discussed last time has the following form:

$$y_t = \widetilde{w}^T \widetilde{x}_t + \epsilon_t$$

$$\widetilde{x}_t = F \widetilde{x}_{t-1} + g \epsilon_t,$$

where only a single error term, ϵ_t , exists.

• Now, contrast this with a state space model below

ARMA Models

- The ARMA(p,q) model can be written compactly as
- Consider the following specification: $\widetilde{w}^T = (1 \ 0), \ \widetilde{x}_t =, v_t = 0, \ F = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 & 1 \\ \alpha_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \ R = (1 \ 0)^T$

$$y_t = (1 \ 0) \begin{pmatrix} x_{1,t} \\ x_{2,t} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} x_{1,t} \\ x_{2,t} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 & 1 \\ \alpha_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_{1,t-1} \\ x_{2,t-1} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} w_t$$

- The observation equation is:
- For the state equation, we have:

- The final step is to simplify $x_{1,t}$ by substituting $x_{2,t-1}$. Thus,

• Similarly, an ARMA(2,1) model can be specified as: $\widetilde{w}^T = (1 \ 0), v_t = 0, F = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 & 1 \\ \alpha_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, R = (1 \ \beta)^T$

$$\begin{array}{lll} y_t &=& (1 \ 0) \begin{pmatrix} x_{1,t} \\ x_{2,t} \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} x_{1,t} \\ x_{2,t} \end{pmatrix} &=& \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 & 1 \\ \alpha_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_{1,t-1} \\ x_{2,t-1} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \beta \end{pmatrix} w_t \end{array}$$

Watch for a homework question about this!

- Recall (536 students) there were two assumptions for state space models.
- 1. The state vector and
- 2. The observed values are

• A major benefit of the state-space model framework is that we can easily integrate the stochastic modeling approach for serial correlation (ARMA) directly with additional covariates.

• For instance consider the following model:

$$y_t = (1 \ 0 \) \begin{pmatrix} x_{1,t} \\ x_{2,t} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} x_{1,t} \\ x_{2,t} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 & 1 & 0 \\ \alpha_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_{1,t-1} \\ x_{2,t-1} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} w_t$$

/ \

• What is this model? Specifically, what are z_t and β ? How could we add dynamics to β ?

• The dlm package contains functions for fitting general models of this type. Standard MCMC algorithms can also be constructed for these models, but we will also have a 536-specific lab that introduces Sequential Monte Carlo (SMC) methods that can be used for this type of model in general.

• The auto.arima() function in the forecast package does have the option to include covariates.

Exercise

- Use the auto.arima() function to model the pastry count. Assume that we are looking at this through the lens of explanatory inference, in other words you need to explain to a baker what you see in your model.:
- 1. Change the frequency of the ts object from 1 to 7, what do you see? why are there differences?
- 2. Include the number of coffee drinks purchased on the same day as a covariate, how does the model change?
- 3. How do the model using the ARMA structure compare to a standard linear regression model?
- 4. As in Lab 7, assume our goal was to predict the number pastries consumed tomorrow, how would your model change?
- 5. What if the baker was interested in both the number of pastries *and* the number of cups of coffee to prepare for tomorrow. How would this be modeled?

```
library(readr)
library(dplyr)
library(forecast)
bakery_sales <- read_csv('http://math.montana.edu/ahoegh/teaching/timeseries/data/BreadBasket.csv')
pastry_count <- bakery_sales %>%
  filter(Item %in% c('Pastry','Scandinavian','Medialuna','Muffin','Scone')) %>%
  group_by(Date) %>% tally() %>% rename(num_pastry = n)
drink_count <- bakery_sales %>% filter(Item %in% c('Coffee','Tea')) %>%
  group_by(Date) %>% tally() %>% rename(num_drink = n)
ggtsdisplay(ts(pastry_count$num_pastry))
```



