

# Monotonicity of Rotation Set for Toroidal Chaos of a Resonantly Kicked Linear Oscillator<sup>1</sup>.

Jaroslav Kwapisz<sup>2 3</sup>

The Center for Dynamical Systems and Nonlinear Studies  
Georgia Institute of Technology  
Atlanta, GA 30332-0190

12 August 1997

## Abstract.

We investigate a model of a charged particle in a uniform magnetic field with resonant nonlinear forcing. The resonance is chosen so that the problem is invariant under rank two lattice of translations in velocities and thus reduces to iteration of a torus map. The rotation set of this map, at least for well pronounced nonlinearities, has positive (and easy to estimate) area. This is an indication of “toroidal chaos”, and physically corresponds to stochastic heating of the plasma. Our main result is the monotonicity of the rotation set as a function of the amplitude of the wave in the case when the forcing is “saw-tooth-like”.

## 1 Introduction

This note is concerned with a concrete family of maps of a two-dimensional torus that are isotopic to the identity and have the rotation set with non-empty interior — an thus they exhibit so called “toroidal chaos”, see [11]. Its purpose is two fold. For one, we wanted to indicate the utilitarian role of the recently developed theory of rotation sets in the context of a classical example from physics. Secondly, we hope that the example we consider will be of theoretical interest to the coupled oscillators community as a relatively accessible testing ground for analyzing the dependence on a parameter of a well developed rotation set with non-empty interior. Unlike mode-locked or partially mode-locked case [2, 6], this is a truly uncharted territory; particularly, due to scarcity of understood examples and inherent difficulties in numerical exploration of the boundary of the rotation set (c.f. [1]).

---

<sup>1</sup>This note is based on Chapter 4 of my 1995 Stony Brook thesis [9].

<sup>2</sup>Supported in part by grants ARO DAAH-0493G0199, NIST G-06-605, and Polish KBN Grant 2P30101307.

<sup>3</sup>Current address: Department of Mathematics, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824-1027. <http://www.math.msu.edu/~jarek/>

We start with a brief introduction of the physical model. Consider a particle of mass  $M$  and charge  $e$  moving in  $\mathbf{R}^3$  filled with a uniform vertical magnetic field of induction  $B_0$ . The force exerted by the field on the particle is proportional to the vector product of its velocity and the field. Thus the trajectories are curled into spirals with circular projections on the horizontal plane. More precisely, if  $x, y, z \in \mathbf{R}$  are the coordinates of the particle ( $z$  being the vertical one), then the Newtonian equations of motion are

$$\begin{aligned} M\ddot{x} &= \frac{eB_0}{c}\dot{y}, \\ M\ddot{y} &= -\frac{eB_0}{c}\dot{x}, \\ M\ddot{z} &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where  $c$  is the speed of light. The vector of horizontal velocity  $(\dot{x}, \dot{y})$  rotates with the *Larmor frequency*  $\omega = eB_0/cM$ . The three momenta:  $p_z := M\dot{z}$ ,  $p_y := M\dot{y} + M\omega x$ , and  $p_x := M\dot{x} - M\omega y$ , are conserved. This simple behavior can change radically in the presence of an external electric field in the system. We will restrict our attention to the case when the electric field is aligned with the  $x$ -axis and does not depend on  $y, z$  coordinates (cf. [15]). These assumptions reduce the number of essential degrees of freedom to one since the momenta  $p_z, p_y$  continue to be integrals of the motion. Furthermore, we will require that the field is a “tight” wave packet with amplitude  $E(x, t) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} TE(x)\delta(t - Tn)$ , where  $T > 0$  is fixed,  $E(x)$  is  $2\pi/k$ -periodic in  $x$ , and  $\delta(\cdot)$  is the Dirac delta function. The meaning of the formula is that the packet interacts with the particle by boosting its  $x$ -velocity by  $\frac{e}{M}TE(x)$  every  $T$  seconds. Thus, if  $(\dot{x}_n, \dot{y}_n)$  is the horizontal velocity prior to the kick at the time  $nT$  ( $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ ), then

$$(\dot{x}_{n+1}, \dot{y}_{n+1}) = R_\alpha \left( \dot{x}_n + \frac{eT}{M}E \left( \frac{p_y - M\dot{y}_n}{M\omega} \right), \dot{y}_n \right) \quad (1)$$

where  $R_\alpha$  is the rotation by the angle  $\alpha = \omega T$ . In this way, the analysis of the physical model reduces to investigation of dynamics on  $\mathbf{R}^2$  generated by the mapping

$$F : (\dot{x}_n, \dot{y}_n) \mapsto (\dot{x}_{n+1}, \dot{y}_{n+1}).$$

This reduction was first carried out in [15].

What makes the map  $F$  interesting is that (even for small amplitudes of  $E$ ) numerical experiments and physical heuristic suggest that it exhibits trajectories escaping towards the infinity with a nonzero “average acceleration”. A significant physical consequence is an unbounded diffusion of plasma particles called also *stochastical heating* ([15]): the particles increase their kinetic energy (heat up) by exploiting the energy of the electric field.

In studying the above behaviour, a lot of attention has been devoted to the resonant cases when  $\alpha$  is rational ([15, 3, 14]). Among those, the cases of choice are  $\alpha = \pi/2$ ,  $\alpha = \pi/3$ , or  $\alpha = 2\pi/3$  — the only possibilities if we require that some

positive iterate of  $F$  is a doubly-periodic map of  $\mathbf{R}^2$ , i.e. it commutes with some faithful  $\mathbf{Z}^2$ -action on  $\mathbf{R}^2$ . We will analyze closer the case of  $\alpha = 2\pi/3$  as the other cases can be treated analogously. Thus the third iterate  $F^3$  is doubly-periodic, and moded out by the  $\mathbf{Z}^2$ -action, it yields a torus diffeomorphism  $f_3 : \mathbf{T}^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{T}^2$  which is isotopic to the identity.

In terms of the torus map, the presence of *stochastical heating* corresponds to the rotation set with interior. The rotation set, denoted  $\rho(F^3)$ , is by definition ([12], see also [13]) equal to the set of all limit points of the sequences of the form

$$\frac{F^{3n_i}(x_i) - x_i}{n_i}, \quad i \in \mathbf{N}$$

where  $n_i \rightarrow \infty$  and  $x_i \in \mathbf{R}^2$  are arbitrarily chosen sequences. It is always a compact convex subset of the plane ([12]), and in view of (1), it can be interpreted as the totality of asymptotic average accelerations exhibited by the particles (with fixed momentum  $p_y$ ). Clearly, the rotation set is one of the basic combinatorial characteristics of the diffusion in the stochastic web; however, it received little attention in this context. This is especially unjust now that a general theory linking the rotation set with other dynamical phenomena became sufficiently developed. For example, if we know just a single periodic point for  $f_3$  with a nontrivial rotation vector  $\rho = p/q$ , i.e. we have  $x \in \mathbf{R}^2$  with  $F^{3q}(x) = x + p$  for  $q \in \mathbf{N}$  and  $p \in \mathbf{Z}^2 \setminus \{(0,0)\}$ , then we can conclude (see Proposition 2.1) that  $\rho(F^3)$  contains a whole triangle spanned by  $\rho$  and its rotations by  $2\pi/3$  and  $4\pi/3$ . This already implies positive entropy ([11]), existence of periodic orbits with all rational rotation vectors in the triangle ([4]), and existence of compact invariant sets with their restricted rotation sets equal to an a priori chosen subcontinuum of the triangle ([11]). On a more quantitative note, the topological entropy of the map is explicitly estimated from below by a function proportional to the logarithm of the area of the triangle ([8, 9]). Moreover, the entropy measured at any fixed rotation vector (i.e. roughly counting only trajectories with that rotation vector), is positive up to the boundary of the rotation set with an explicit lower bound proportional to the area and the distance of the rotation vector from the boundary ([9]). While we refer the reader to the cited works for a broader exposition and proofs, we hope that these highlights explain why the rotation set it is well worth studying.

For our map, the basic properties of the rotation set are outlined in Section 2. (We should however stress that, while we prove that the rotation set has non-empty interior and estimate its size for rather large values of the amplitude of  $E$ , we make no attempt to tackle the case of small  $E$ , for which we know no easy alternative to the complicated perturbation apparatus as in [5].)

Our main result is that of monotonicity of  $\rho(F^3)$  as a function of the amplitude of  $E$  — also for rather large amplitudes, and for “saw-tooth-like”  $E$ ’s only. The “saw-tooth-like” non-linearity is admittedly not the most natural; its choice is somewhat analogous to that of the tent map for the iteration of interval maps. Still the result comes amidst complete lack of any rigorous or numerical study

of parameter dependence of a well developed rotation set with non-empty interior. Numerics is difficult because of scarcity of trajectories that contribute to the boundary of the rotation set. Theoretical considerations are usually stuck on the reef of computing the rotation set. Unless one knows a Markov partition for the map, this is difficult and have been accomplished only in a few specially doctored cases ([7, 10]).

The proof hinges on a formulation of the problem in terms of a second order recurrence equation and on a shadowing type result (Lemma 3.2) that assures that periodic orbits do not go away as  $E$  increases, which implies the monotonicity of the rotation set.

## 2 The mapping and its rotation set

The rotation  $R_{2\pi/3}$  is linearly conjugated to the map

$$L : (u, v) \mapsto (v, -u - v), \quad u, v \in \mathbf{R}.$$

By choosing the conjugacy that sends  $\dot{x}$ -axis to  $u$ -axis and by rescaling the variables, one conjugates  $F$  (as prescribed by (1)) to  $G$  given by

$$G : (u, v) \mapsto L(u + \phi(v), v) = (v, -u - v - \phi(v)), \quad (2)$$

where  $\phi(v) = eT/M\omega k \cdot E(-kv + \frac{p_y}{M\omega})$  is  $2\pi$ -periodic. The third iterate of  $G$  commutes with the translations of  $\mathbf{R}^2$  by vectors in  $(2\pi\mathbf{Z})^2$ , and so it factors to a torus map

$$g_3 : \mathbf{T}^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{T}^2.$$

Even though  $G$  and  $g_3$  depend on the choice of  $\phi$ , we suppress this dependence in the notation since it will be always clear which  $\phi$  we are talking about. From now on, if we do not state otherwise,  $\phi$  is an arbitrary fixed continuous function of period  $2\pi$ . However, we will remember that  $\phi$  naturally comes embedded in the two parameter family

$$\phi_{K,\eta}(x) := K\phi(x + \eta), \quad x \in \mathbf{R}, \quad (3)$$

where  $\eta \in \mathbf{R}$  and  $K > 0$  correspond to the momentum  $p_y$  and the strength of the forcing respectively.

**Fact 2.1** *For any  $\eta \in \mathbf{R}$ , replacing  $\phi(\cdot)$  with a shifted function  $\phi(\cdot + \eta) + 3\eta$  is equivalent to conjugating  $G$  by a translation  $(u, v) \mapsto (u - \eta, v - \eta)$ . In particular, the rotation set  $\rho(G^3)$  of  $G^3$  is unaltered by the shift.*

For  $r \in \mathbf{R}$ , we will denote by  $\text{Hex}(r)$  the hexagon with vertices  $\pm(r, 0)$ ,  $\pm L(r, 0) = \pm(0, -r)$ ,  $\pm L^2(r, 0) = \pm(-r, r)$ . The following are the most basic properties of the rotation set  $\rho(G^3)$ .

**Proposition 2.1** *If  $G$  is defined by (2), then*

- (i)  $\rho(G^3)$  has a three-fold symmetry, namely  $\rho(G^3) = L(\rho(G^3)) = L^2(\rho(G^3))$ ;
- (ii) if  $\phi$  is odd, then  $\rho(G^3) = -\rho(G^3)$ ;
- (iii)  $\rho(G^3)$  is contained in  $\text{Hex}(\sup \phi - \inf \phi)$ .

*Proof of (i).* Let  $Q : \mathbf{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^2$  be given by

$$(u, v) \mapsto (u + \phi(v), v),$$

so that  $G = L \circ Q$ . The displacement of a point  $p \in \mathbf{R}^2$  under  $n + 1$  iterates of  $G$  is

$$G^{n+1}(p) - p = L \circ Q \circ G^n(p) - L \circ G^n(p) + L(G^n(p) - p) + Lp - p.$$

The first and the last differences on the right side are bounded, so  $(1/(n + 1))(G^{n+1}(p) - p)$  is asymptotically equal to  $L((1/n)(G^n(p) - p))$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ; and thus  $\rho(G^3) = L(\rho(G^3))$ .  $\square$

*Proof of (ii).* Just note that if  $\phi$  is odd, then  $Q$  commutes with central symmetry  $p \mapsto -p$ , and so does the whole map  $G$ .  $\square$

*Proof of (iii).* Write the displacement of  $p \in \mathbf{R}^2$  under  $G^3$  as follows

$$G^3(p) - p = L(Q \circ G^2(p) - G^2(p)) + L^2(Q \circ G(p) - G(p)) + L^3(Q(p) - p).$$

The arguments of  $L, L^2, L^3 = \text{id}$ , above, belong to a segment  $I$  with endpoints  $(\inf \phi, 0)$  and  $(\sup \phi, 0)$ , so  $G^3(p) - p$  sits inside the algebraic (Minkowski) sum  $L(I) + L^2(I) + I$ , which equals  $\text{Hex}(\sup \phi - \inf \phi)$ .  $\square$

Proposition 2.1, (ii) can be sharp, as it is shown by the example below. For convenience, we note the following first.

**Fact 2.2** *If  $(u, v) \in \mathbf{R}^2$  satisfies  $\phi(-v - u - \phi(v)) = \phi(v)$  and  $\gamma := \phi(v) - \phi(u) \in 2\pi\mathbf{Z}$ , then  $(u, v)$  is a lift of a fixed point of  $g_3$  and  $G^3(u, v) = (u, v) + (0, \gamma)$ , so that  $(0, \gamma) \in \rho(G^3)$ .*

*Proof.* We apply  $G$  to  $(u, v)$  three times

$$\begin{aligned} (u, v) &\mapsto (v, -u - v - \phi(v)) \\ &\mapsto (-u - v - \phi(v), u + \phi(v) - \phi(-v - u - \phi(v))) \\ &= (-u - v - \phi(v), u) \mapsto (u, v + \phi(v) - \phi(u)). \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

Actually, from the proof, one can see that the inverse of the fact is also true.

**Example.** Consider any  $\phi$  normalized so that  $\sup \phi = K$  and  $\inf \phi = -K$  (see Fact 2.1). By Fact 2.2, if there are  $u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2$  such that  $\phi(v_1) = \phi(v_2) = \phi(-v_1 - u_1) = K$  and  $\phi(u_1) = \phi(u_2) = \phi(-v_2 - u_2) = -K$ , we conclude that both  $\pm(0, 2K)$  are

in the rotation set provided also  $K = 0 \pmod{2\pi}$ . By (i) of Proposition 2.1, the rotation set is then actually equal to  $\text{Hex}(2K)$ . It is not difficult to draw a graph of  $\phi$  with the required placement of the maxima and the minima. (Use for example  $u_1 = -\pi/2$ ,  $u_2 = -\pi/6$ ,  $v_1 = \pi/6$ ,  $v_2 = \pi/2$ .) (One can see that any suitable  $\phi$  has to attain one of its global extrema at least three times per period.)

Another consequence of Fact 2.2 is the following very crude lower bound on the rotation set.

**Proposition 2.2** *If  $l := \sup \phi - \inf \phi \geq 24\pi$ , then the triangle with vertices  $L^i(0, l/2)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , is contained in the rotation set  $\rho(G^3)$ .*

*Proof.* Using Fact 2.1, we can shift the graph of  $\phi$  so that there are  $v_0, u_0$  with  $\phi(v_0) = \sup \phi \geq 12\pi$  and  $\phi(u_0) = \inf \phi \leq -12\pi$ . Now, as we push  $v$  continuously away from  $v_0$  (in either direction), before  $\phi(v)$  drops below  $\sup \phi/2$ , the expression  $-2v - \phi(v)$  changes by at least  $-4\pi + 6\pi = 2\pi$ , thus sweeping the whole period. In particular,  $\phi(-2v - \phi(v))$  sweeps, in the process, an interval containing  $[\inf \phi, \inf \phi/2]$ ; and so, by the intermediate value theorem, there is  $v$  such that  $l/2 = \sup \phi/2 - \inf \phi/2 \leq \phi(v) - \phi(-2v - \phi(v)) \in 2\pi\mathbf{Z}$ . Set  $u = -2v - \phi(v)$ , and see that  $(u, v)$  satisfies the assumption of Fact 2.2, thus placing  $(0, \phi(v) - \phi(u))$  in the rotation set. By (i) of Proposition 2.1,  $L^i(0, \phi(v) - \phi(u))$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , are in the rotation set as well.  $\square$

### 3 The monotonicity theorem

We will call  $\phi$  *unimodal*, if it has only two intervals of monotonicity over its minimal period. We will refer to the endpoints of the maximal intervals of monotonicity as *critical points of  $\phi$* . The following theorem is our main result.

**Theorem 3.1** *For a piecewise-smooth unimodal and odd  $\phi$  with*

$$D := \inf_x |\phi'(x)| \geq 6,$$

*the rotation set of  $G^3$  corresponding to  $K\phi$  is nondecreasing in  $K$  for  $K \in [1, +\infty)$ , i.e.  $K_1 \leq K_2$  implies  $\rho(G_{K_1}^3) \subseteq \rho(G_{K_2}^3)$ . Actually, all periodic orbits of the torus map can be continued as  $K$  increases.*

Note that the rotation set is not constant in  $K$  by Proposition 2.2.

Before we embark on the proof we need some preliminaries. A convenient way of viewing a trajectory of a point  $(u, v) \in \mathbf{R}^2$  under  $G$  is to label it as a sequence  $(x_k)_{k \in \mathbf{Z}}$  so that  $x_0 = u$ ,  $x_1 = v$  and  $(x_k, x_{k+1}) = G(x_{k-1}, x_k)$ , for  $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ . It is then characterized by the following recurrence equation

$$x_{k-1} + x_k + \phi(x_k) + x_{k+1} = 0, \quad k \in \mathbf{Z}. \quad (4)$$

For  $n \in 3\mathbf{N}$ , a periodic orbit of  $G$  of period  $n$  thus corresponds to  $x = (x_k)_{k=0}^{n-1} \in \mathbf{R}^n$  satisfying (4) with a cyclic indexing modulo  $n$ . For  $\phi = 0$ , this is when every point is fixed by  $G^3$ , the two-dimensional space of solutions to (4) is conveniently spanned by

$$\begin{aligned} e &= (1, -1, 0, 1, -1, 0, \dots, 1, -1, 0), \\ Se &= (0, 1, -1, 0, 1, -1, \dots, 0, 1, -1), \\ S^2e &= (-1, 0, 1, -1, 0, 1, \dots, -1, 0, 1), \end{aligned}$$

where  $S : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$  shifts the coordinates cyclically to the right, and  $S^3e = e$ .

The orbits of  $G$  that correspond to periodic orbits of  $g_3$  on the torus with nonzero rotation vector come from  $(x_k)_{k \in \mathbf{Z}}$  that satisfy (4) together with the periodicity condition  $x_{k+n} - x_k = v_k \pmod{3}$ , where  $v_0, v_1, v_2 \in 2\pi\mathbf{Z}$ ,  $n \in 3\mathbf{N}$ . To search for them, we may use the following scheme. (Below  $\langle x, y \rangle := \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i$ , for  $x, y \in \mathbf{R}^n$ .)

**Lemma 3.1** *For  $n \in 3\mathbf{N}$ , if  $z = (z_k)_{k=0}^{n-1} \in \mathbf{R}^n$  satisfies*

$$z_{k-1} + z_k + \phi(z_k) + z_{k+1} = \xi_k, \quad 0 \leq k \leq n-1, \quad (5)$$

where  $\xi \in (2\pi\mathbf{Z})^n$  and the indexing is cyclic, then there is  $\rho \in (2\pi\mathbf{Z})^{\mathbf{Z}}$  satisfying

$$\rho_{k-1} + \rho_k + \rho_{k+1} = -\xi_k \pmod{n}, \quad k \in \mathbf{Z}, \quad (6)$$

and the sequence  $x_k := z_k \pmod{n} + \rho_k$  is a solution to (4). The displacement over a period is given by

$$x_{k+n} - x_k = \langle \xi, S^k e \rangle, \quad k \in \mathbf{Z}, \quad (7)$$

so the corresponding rotation vector for  $G^3$  is  $(1/n)(\langle \xi, e \rangle, \langle \xi, Se \rangle)$ . Moreover, one can obtain in this way all of  $(x_k) \in \mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{Z}}$  corresponding to orbits of  $G$  that cover periodic orbits of  $g_3$  on the torus.

*Proof.* Solving (6) is straightforward: fix  $\rho_0, \rho_1 \in 2\pi\mathbf{Z}$  and figure out the rest of  $\rho_i$ 's successively. That  $x_k = z_k \pmod{n} + \rho_k$  satisfy (4) follows from putting together (5) and (6). To calculate the displacement, replace in (4)  $k$  with  $k+1$  and subtract unaltered (4) to get

$$x_{k+3} - x_k = \phi(x_{k+1}) - \phi(x_{k+2}) = \phi(z_{k+1} \pmod{n}) - \phi(z_{k+2} \pmod{n}). \quad (8)$$

Sum over the range  $k, k+3, \dots, k+n-3$  to obtain

$$x_{k+n} - x_k = \left\langle (\phi(z_j \pmod{n}))_{j=k+1}^{k+n}, S^k e \right\rangle = \langle \xi, S^k e \rangle,$$

where we used the fact that  $\left\langle (z_{j-1} \pmod{n} + z_j \pmod{n} + z_{j+1} \pmod{n})_{j=k+1}^{k+n}, S^k e \right\rangle = 0$ .

Now suppose that  $(x_k)_{k \in \mathbf{Z}}$  covers a periodic orbit of period  $n \in 3\mathbf{N}$ . Then  $x_{k+n} - x_k =: v_k \pmod{3} \in 2\pi\mathbf{Z}$ , and, because both  $x_k$ 's and the shifted sequence  $x_{k+n}$ 's

satisfy (4), the sequence  $(v_{k \pmod{3}})_{k \in \mathbf{Z}}$  obeys the linear equation  $v_{k-1 \pmod{3}} + v_{k \pmod{3}} + v_{k+1 \pmod{3}} = 0$ . This makes  $\xi_k \pmod{n} := x_{k-1 \pmod{n}} + x_k \pmod{n} + \phi(x_k \pmod{n}) + x_{k+1 \pmod{n}}$ ,  $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ , well defined. To satisfy (5), set  $z_k := x_k$ ,  $k = 0, \dots, n-1$ .  $\square$

Solving (5) for large  $n$  is a daunting task regardless of our choice of  $\phi$ . There is then little hope that the rotation set can be calculated exactly for a continuum of values of the parameters  $K$  and  $\eta$  in (3). Our theorem comes here as a little consolation and is based on the following ‘‘closing lemma’’ holding for solutions of (5).

**Lemma 3.2** *Fix  $\epsilon > 0$  and suppose that  $z = (z_k)_{k=0}^{n-1} \in \mathbf{R}^n$  satisfies*

$$z_{k-1} + z_k + K\phi(z_k) + z_{k+1} = \xi_k + \epsilon_k,$$

where  $\epsilon_k \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ . If, for some open intervals  $I_k$  that are free of the critical points of  $\phi$  and contain  $z_k$ ,  $k = 0, \dots, n-1$ , we have

$$d := \inf\{|1 + K\phi'(t)| : t \in I_k, 0 \leq k \leq n-1\} > 2, \quad (9)$$

and

$$B_{\frac{d}{d-2}\epsilon}(z_k + K\phi(z_k)) \subset \{z + K\phi(z) : z \in I_k\}, \quad (10)$$

then there is  $z^* = (z_k^*) \in \mathbf{R}^n$  such that  $z_k^* \in I_k$ , and

$$z_{k-1}^* + z_k^* + K\phi(z_k^*) + z_{k+1}^* = \xi_k, \quad 0 \leq k \leq n-1. \quad (11)$$

*Proof.* We obtain  $z^*$  as the limit of a sequence of corrections of  $z$ , each diminishing the supremum of  $\epsilon_k$ 's by a definite multiplicative factor  $\lambda < 1$ . The hypothesis (9) guarantees that  $z_k + K\phi(z_k)$  changes faster (in  $z_k$ ) than the ‘‘coupling term’’  $z_{k-1} + z_{k+1}$ , thus  $\epsilon_k$  can be effectively decreased by manipulating  $z_k$  only. The hypothesis (10) secures enough ‘‘maneuvering space’’ to perform all infinitely many corrections. With this in mind, it will be convenient to think of  $\frac{d}{d-2}\epsilon$  as follows: fix  $\kappa \in (0, 1)$  and let  $\lambda = 1 - \kappa + 2\kappa/d < 1$ , then

$$\frac{d}{d-2}\epsilon = \frac{\kappa}{\kappa - 2\kappa/d}\epsilon = \kappa \frac{1}{1-\lambda}\epsilon = \kappa\epsilon + \kappa\lambda\epsilon + \kappa\lambda^2\epsilon + \dots \quad (12)$$

We describe the first correction. From (12),  $\kappa\epsilon \leq \frac{d}{d-2}\epsilon$ , so, by (10),

$$B_{\kappa\epsilon}(z_k + K\phi(z_k)) \subset \{z + K\phi(z) : z \in I_k\}. \quad (13)$$

It follows that we can find  $z'_k \in I_k$ ,  $k = 0, \dots, n-1$ , so that

$$|z_{k-1} + z'_k + K\phi(z'_k) + z_{k+1} - \xi_k| = (1 - \kappa)|\epsilon_k|$$

and

$$|z'_k + K\phi(z'_k) - z_k - K\phi(z_k)| = \kappa|\epsilon_k| \leq \kappa\epsilon. \quad (14)$$

The hypothesis (9) implies then that

$$|z'_k - z_k| \leq \frac{\kappa}{d} |\epsilon_k|.$$

Putting the two inequalities together we get

$$z'_{k-1} + z'_k + K\phi(z'_k) + z'_{k+1} = \xi_k + \epsilon'_k,$$

for some  $\epsilon'_k$  such that

$$|\epsilon'_k| \leq (1 - \kappa)|\epsilon_k| + \frac{\kappa}{d}|\epsilon_{k-1}| + \frac{\kappa}{d}|\epsilon_{k+1}| \leq \left(1 - \kappa + 2\frac{\kappa}{d}\right) \epsilon = \lambda\epsilon.$$

In this way

$$\epsilon' = \max_{k=0}^{n-1} |\epsilon'_k| \leq \lambda\epsilon. \quad (15)$$

To repeat the procedure leading from  $z = (z_k) \in \mathbf{R}^n$  to  $z' = (z'_k) \in \mathbf{R}^n$  with  $z'$  as our new  $z$ , we need to verify (13) with  $z_k$  and  $\epsilon$  replaced by  $z'_k$  and  $\epsilon'$  respectively. In view of (10) and (14), it suffices to check that

$$\kappa\epsilon + \kappa\epsilon' \leq \frac{d}{d-2}\epsilon.$$

This is however a consequence of (15) and (12). It should be clear now how the series in (12) unfolds as we need to guarantee the possibility of carrying out the infinitely many consecutive steps.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 3.1.* Fix  $K > 1$ . We want to prove that the rotation set of  $G^3$  corresponding to  $K\phi$  contains that corresponding to  $\phi$ . Note that, by (i) of Proposition 2.1, the rotation set is either equal to  $\{(0,0)\}$  or it has nonempty interior. In the latter case, by Franks' theorem ([4]), the rotation set is the closure of the totality of the rotation vectors of periodic orbits. Consequently, it is enough to show the following: for each periodic orbit of the torus map generated by  $\phi$ , there is one with the same rotation vector for  $K\phi$ . In view of Lemma 3.1, it suffices to find, for  $z \in \mathbf{R}^n$  satisfying (5), a point  $z^* \in \mathbf{R}^n$  satisfying (11).

Let  $M := \sup \phi = -\inf \phi$ . Clearly,  $\phi$  and  $K\phi$  have the same intervals of monotonicity,  $K\phi$  has larger image, and

$$|K\phi(x) - \phi(x)| \leq (K-1)M, \text{ for all } x \in \mathbf{R}. \quad (16)$$

Thus, if  $z \in \mathbf{R}^n$  satisfies (5) for some  $\xi \in (2\pi\mathbf{Z})^n$ , we can find for each  $z_k$  a point  $y_k$  in the same interval of monotonicity such that  $K\phi(y_k) = \phi(z_k)$ . By (16) and the assumption on the derivative

$$|z_k - y_k| \leq (K-1)M/(KD) \leq (K-1)M/(6K).$$

In this way

$$y_{k-1} + y_k + K\phi(y_k) + y_{k+1} = \xi_k + \epsilon_k,$$

with  $|\epsilon_k| \leq \epsilon := 3(K-1)M/(6K) = (K-1)M/(2K)$ .

We will use Lemma 3.2 to find  $z^* \in \mathbf{R}^n$  that obeys (11). The hypothesis (9) is satisfied because  $d \geq D-1 \geq 5$ . Let  $I_k$  be the monotonicity interval containing  $y_k$ , that is  $I_k = [c_k, c'_k]$  where  $c_k$  and  $c'_k$  are the critical point of  $\phi$  closest to  $y_k$ . To verify hypothesis (10), observe that image under  $K\phi$  of any maximal interval of monotonicity extends exactly  $(K-1)M$  beyond the endpoints of the corresponding image for  $\phi$ . This and the fact that  $|K\phi'| \geq KD$  yield

$$\begin{aligned} |K\phi(c_k) + c_k - K\phi(y_k) - y_k| &\geq |K\phi(c_k) - K\phi(y_k)| - |c_k - y_k| \geq \\ &|K\phi(c_k) - K\phi(y_k)| \left(1 - \frac{1}{KD}\right) \geq (K-1)M \left(1 - \frac{1}{KD}\right), \end{aligned}$$

and we have the analogous inequality for  $c'_k$ . Since  $\frac{d}{d-2}\epsilon \leq \frac{D-1}{D-3}\frac{(K-1)M}{2K}$ , to satisfy (10), we need  $\frac{D-1}{D-3}\frac{(K-1)M}{2K} \leq (K-1)M(1 - 1/(KD))$ . Dividing both sides by  $(K-1)M$  and setting  $D = 6$ , we get a stronger inequality  $\frac{5}{3.2K} \leq 1 - \frac{1}{6K}$ , which is true because  $K \geq 1$ .  $\square$

## References

- [1] P. Ashwin and J. W. Swift, *Measuring rotation sets of coupled oscillators*, Nonlinearity **7** (1994), 925–942.
- [2] C. Baesens, J. Guckenheimer, S. Kim, and R. MacKay, *Three coupled oscillators: mode-locking, global bifurcations and toroidal chaos*, Physica D **49** (1991), 387–475.
- [3] A.A. Chernikov, R.Z. Sagdeev, D.A. Usikov, and G.M. Zaslavsky, *The Hamiltonian method for quasicrystal symmetry*, Physics Letters A **125** (2) (1987), 102–106.
- [4] J. Franks, *Realizing rotation vectors for torus homeomorphisms*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **311** (1989), 107–115.
- [5] V.G. Gelfreich, V.F. Lazutkin, and N.V. Svanidze, *A refined formula for the separatrix splitting for the standard map*, Physica D **71** (1994), 82–101.
- [6] S. Kim, R. MacKay, and J. Guckenheimer, *Resonance for families of torus maps*, Nonlinearity **2** (1989), 391–404.
- [7] J. Kwapisz, *Every convex rational polygon is a rotation set*, Erg. Th. & Dyn. Sys. **12** (1992), 333–339.
- [8] ———, *An estimate of entropy for toroidal chaos*, Erg. Th. & Dyn. Sys. **13** (1993), 123–129.
- [9] ———, *Rotation sets and entropy*, SUNY at Stony Brook PhD thesis, 1995.
- [10] ———, *A toral diffeomorphism with a non-polygonal rotation set*, Nonlinearity **8** (1995), 461–476.

- [11] J. Llibre and R. MacKay, *Rotation vectors and entropy for homeomorphisms of the torus isotopic to the identity*, Erg. Th. & Dyn. Sys. **11** (1991), 115–128.
- [12] M. Misiurewicz and K. Ziemian, *Rotation sets for maps of tori*, J. London Math. Soc. **40** (1989), 490–506.
- [13] J. A. Walsh, *Rotation vectors for toral maps and flows: A tutorial*, Intl. J. Bifn. Chaos **5** (1995), 321–348.
- [14] G.M. Zaslavsky, Mu.YU Zakharov, D.A. Usikov R.Z. Sagdeev, and A.A. Chernikov, *Generation of ordered structures with a symmetry axis from a Hamiltonian dynamics*, Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **44** (7) (1986), 451–456.
- [15] ———, *Stochastic web and diffusion of particles in a magnetic field*, Sov. Phys. JETP **64** (1986), 294–303.