

Compact Sets, Operator norms, Compact operators

In the following definitions and theorems, H is a Hilbert space though most definitions hold for general metric and/or normed vector spaces.

Compact Sets

Let $S \subset H$ be some set. Then

- | | |
|--|---|
| (C1) S bounded | $\Leftrightarrow \exists M > 0$ s.t. $\ x\ \leq M, \forall x \in S$ |
| (C2) S compact | \Leftrightarrow Every sequence $\{x_n\} \subset S$ contains a convergent subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ which converges to $x \in S$ |
| (C3) S bounded | $\nRightarrow S$ compact |
| (C4) S (sequentially) compact | $\Rightarrow S$ closed and bounded |
| (C5) $S \equiv \{x \in H : \ x\ \leq 1\}$ compact | $\Rightarrow \dim(H) < \infty$ |

Definition: Bounded Operator An operator $L : H \rightarrow H$ is bounded if there exists some $M > 0$ such that

$$\|Lx\| \leq M \|x\| \quad \forall x \in H \quad (1)$$

Definition: Operator norm For any bounded operator $L : H \rightarrow H$ we define the operator norm as

$$\|L\|_{op} \equiv \max_{\|x\|=1} \|Lx\| = \max_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|Lx\|}{\|x\|} \quad (2)$$

Definition: Compact Operator An operator $K : H \rightarrow H$ is compact if it transforms bounded sets into compact sets.

Compact and Bounded linear operators

- | | |
|--|---|
| (CO1) K compact | $\Rightarrow K$ bounded |
| (CO2) K linear, $\dim(R(K)) < \infty$ | $\Rightarrow K$ compact |
| (CO3) K bounded, $\{\phi_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ orthonormal | $\Rightarrow \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} K\phi_n = 0$ |
| (CO4) K_n compact, $\ K_n - K\ _{op} \rightarrow 0$ | $\Rightarrow K$ compact |
| (CO5) K compact | $\Leftrightarrow \{x_n\} \subset H$ bounded $\Rightarrow \{Lx_n\}$ has a convergent subsequence |
| (CO6) K_1, K_2 compact | $\Rightarrow K_1 + K_2$ compact |

Combining (CO4) and (CO6) we see the space of compact operators is a closed linear space using the operator norm.

(C3) Bounded $S \not\Rightarrow$ Compact set.

Let $S = \{\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_n, \dots\}$ orthonormal set.

$$\|\phi\| \leq 1 \quad \forall \phi \in S \Rightarrow S \text{ bounded set.}$$

But

$$\|\phi_n - \phi_m\|^2 = 2(1 - \delta_{nm})$$

implies distance between different elements is $\sqrt{2} \Rightarrow$ only convergent subsequence must have $\phi_{n_k} = \phi_N$ (N -fixed) for all k .

So the sequence $\{\phi_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ does not have convergent subsequence.

(C4) Compact \Rightarrow Closed and Bounded.

Let $\{x_n\} \subset S$ with $x_n \rightarrow \bar{x} \in \bar{S}$ (closure).
Since S compact, $x_{n_k} \rightarrow x' \in S$. Want to show $x' = \bar{x}$:

$$\|x' - \bar{x}\| \leq \underbrace{\|\bar{x} - x_n\|}_{\downarrow 0} + \underbrace{\|x_n - x_{n_k}\|}_{\text{Cauchy}} + \underbrace{\|x_{n_k} - x'\|}_{\downarrow 0}$$

shows S closed.

Suppose S not bounded. Then $\exists \{x_n\} \subset S \ni$

$$\|x_n\| \geq n$$

which clearly has no convergent subsequence.
Contradiction. \square

FREDHOLM ALTERNATIVE (Bounded op/closed range)

- Let
- i) $L: H \rightarrow H$, linear
 - ii) L bounded operator
 - iii) $R(L)$ closed in H .

then

$$Lu = f \text{ has a solution} \iff \langle f, v \rangle = 0 \quad \forall v \in N(L^*)$$

Proof \Rightarrow Let $v \in N(L^*)$. By assumption $\exists u \ni Lu = f$.

$$\langle v, f \rangle = \langle v, Lu \rangle = \langle L^*v, u \rangle = \langle 0, u \rangle = 0.$$

\Leftarrow Since $R(L)$ is closed, projection Thm implies $H = R(L) \oplus R(L)^\perp$. Let

$$f = f_R + f_R^\perp$$

Want to show $f_R^\perp = 0$. Since $Lz \in R(L)$

$$\langle f_R^\perp, Lz \rangle = 0, \quad \forall z \in H$$

$$\langle L^*f_R^\perp, z \rangle = 0, \quad \forall z \in H$$

$$f_R^\perp \in N(L^*)$$

By supposition $\langle f_R^\perp, f \rangle = 0$. But

$$\langle f_R^\perp, f \rangle = \langle f_R^\perp, f_R \rangle + \|f_R^\perp\|^2 = 0$$

implies $f_R = 0$. □

$$N(K_0^* - \lambda_0 I), \quad A^T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\frac{1}{2} \\ 1 & -\frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}, \quad K_0^* v = \lambda_0 v$$

In general,

$$\lambda_0 v(x) = (K_0^* v)(x) = \int_0^1 k_0(y, x) v(y) dy$$

$$\lambda_0 v(x) = \beta_1 q_1(x) + \beta_2 q_2(x)$$

$$\vec{\beta} \in N(A^T - \lambda_0 I)$$

Choose $\vec{\beta} = (1, 1)^T$ so that (e-fn) wlog

$$v(x) = 1 - x$$

(Can check $K_0 v = \frac{1}{2} v$).

Fredholm alternative implies

$$\langle v, f \rangle = \int_0^1 (\lambda_1 - 1)(1-x) dx = 0$$

or that $\lambda_1 = 1$ so

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon + O(\varepsilon^2)$$

□

$$\text{EX } (K_\varepsilon u)(x) = \int_0^1 (1-y) u(y) dy + \varepsilon \int_0^1 u(y) dy$$

Note: $K_0(x, y) = 1 \cdot 1 + 1(-y) = p_1(x)q_1(y) + p_2(x)q_2(y)$.

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \langle q_1, p_1 \rangle & \langle q_1, p_2 \rangle \\ \langle q_2, p_1 \rangle & \langle q_2, p_2 \rangle \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

So e-values of K_0 are those of A .

$$\det(A - \lambda I) = \lambda^2 - \frac{1}{2}\lambda$$

So $\lambda_0 = 0, \frac{1}{2}$ are eigenvalues.

Expand $\lambda(\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon \lambda_1 + O(\varepsilon^2)$, $\lambda_0 = \frac{1}{2}$.

$$(K_0 - \lambda_0 I) u_1 = \lambda_1 u_0 - K_1 u_0$$

where

$$u_0(x) = \alpha_1 p_1(x) + \alpha_2 p_2(x)$$

$$\vec{\alpha} = (2, -1)^T \in N(A - \lambda_0 I)$$

wlog $u_0(x) = 1$, $\|u_0\| = 1$.

$$(K_0 - \lambda_0 I) u_1 = \lambda_1 - 1$$

$$L u_1 = f$$

Need $v \in N(K_0^* - \lambda_0 I) \neq \{0\}$ on account λ_0 also e-value of K_0^* .

Eigenvalues of separable kernel int. operators.

Let

$$\mathbb{K}u \equiv \int_a^b k(x, y) u(y) dy$$

$$k(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i(x) q_i(y)$$

The eigenvalues of \mathbb{K} are eigenvalues of

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \langle q_1, p_1 \rangle & \langle q_1, p_2 \rangle & \dots \\ - & - & - \\ - & - & - \end{bmatrix} \quad ; \quad \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = L^2[a, b] \text{ inner prod.}$$

and the eigen fns (for $\lambda \neq 0$)

$$u_\lambda(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i p_i(x) \quad \vec{\alpha} \in N(A - \lambda I).$$

For the adjoint problem

$$\mathbb{K}^*v \equiv \int_a^b k(y, x) v(y) dy$$

$$\mathbb{K}^*v = \mu v$$

Eigenvalues μ are those of A^T with A defined above. Use $\det B = \det B^T$ as follows:

$$\det(A - \lambda I) = \det[(A - \lambda I)^T] = \det(A^T - \lambda I)$$

Since characteristic polynomials same, so are e values.

EXAMPLE $(K_\varepsilon u)(x) \equiv \int_0^1 xy u(y) dy + \varepsilon \int_0^1 u(y) dy.$

Find $\lambda_0 = \frac{1}{2}$, $u_0(x) = 2x$.

Since $K_0 = K_0^*$,

$$N(K_0^* - \lambda_0 I) = \text{span}\{u_0\}.$$

Compute

$$\lambda_1 u_0 - K_1 u_0 = \lambda_1 u_0 - 1$$

Solvability

$$\frac{4}{3} \lambda_1 = \int_0^1 \lambda_1 (2x)^2 = \int_0^1 (2x) dx = 1$$

Thus $\lambda_1 = \frac{3}{4}$.

Perturbed Eigenvalue problems

$$(K_0 + \varepsilon K_1) u = \lambda u$$

Suppose $K_0 u_0 = \lambda_0 u_0$, $u_0 \neq 0$, $\lambda_0 \neq 0$. If

$$\lambda = \lambda_0 + \varepsilon \lambda_1 + \dots$$

$$u = u_0 + \varepsilon u_1 + \dots$$

Then

$$(K_0 - \lambda_0 I) u_0 = 0$$

$$(K_0 - \lambda_0 I) u_1 = \lambda_1 u_0 - K_1 u_0$$

○ Solvability condition.

$$\langle v, \lambda_1 u_0 - K_1 u_0 \rangle = 0 \quad \forall v \in N(K_0^* - \lambda_0 I)$$

determines λ_1 via

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{\langle v, K_1 u_0 \rangle}{\langle v, u_0 \rangle}$$

FREDHOLM ALTERNATIVE (Closed Range)

Let $L: H \rightarrow H$ be bounded linear op, $R(L)$ closed in H .

$$1) \quad \begin{array}{l} Lf = g \\ \text{has a soln} \end{array} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \langle g, v \rangle = 0 \quad \forall v \in N(L^*)$$

$$2) \quad \begin{array}{l} Lf = g \\ \text{has a unique} \\ \text{soln} \end{array} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad N(L) = \{0\}$$

EXAMPLE $u(x) = f(x) + \lambda \int_0^1 xt u(t) dt = f + \lambda Ku$

Sum of bnd operators bnd. $N(I - \lambda K) = N(I - \lambda K^*) = \text{span}\{x\}$
for $\lambda = 3$. Solvability condition

$$\langle x, f \rangle = \int_0^1 x f(x) dx = 0.$$

EXAMPLE $u'' + \omega^2 u = f(x), \quad u(0) = u(1) = 0$

$$k(x, y) = \begin{cases} y(x-1) & 0 \leq y < x \leq 1 \\ x(y-1) & 0 \leq x < y \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

(BVP) has soln

$$u(x) + \omega^2 \int_0^1 k(x, y) u(y) dy = F(x) \equiv Kf$$

Solvability condition

$$\langle v, F \rangle = 0 \quad \forall v \in N(I + \omega^2 K) = N(I + \omega^2 K^*)$$

If $\omega^2 \neq \lambda_n = n^2 \pi^2$ has unique soln. If $\omega^2 = n^2 \pi^2$ must have

$$\langle \sin(n\pi x), F \rangle = 0$$

PROOF K_n compact, $\|K_n - K\|_{op} \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow K$ compact

Must show $\{Ku_n\}$ has a convergent subsequence for $\{u_n\}$ bounded.

K_1 compact \Rightarrow subsequence $\{u_n^{(1)}\}$ of $\{u_n\}$, $K_1 u_n^{(1)}$ converges

K_2 compact \Rightarrow subsequence $\{u_n^{(2)}\}$ of $\{u_n^{(1)}\}$, $K_2 u_n^{(2)}$ converges

K_m compact \Rightarrow subsequence $\{u_n^{(m)}\}$ of $\{u_n^{(m-1)}\}$, $K_m u_n^{(m)}$ converges

Define $\{v_n\}$ as 'diagonal'

$$v_n = u_n^{(n)}$$

Has the property $\{K_m v_n\}$ is convergent for every fixed m .

Now show $\{Kv_n\}$ is Cauchy.

$$\begin{aligned} \|Kv_n - Kv_m\| &\leq \|Kv_n - K_j v_n\| + \|K_j v_n - K_j v_m\| + \|Kv_m - K_j v_m\| \\ &\quad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \\ &\quad 0 \qquad \qquad \qquad 0 \qquad \qquad \qquad 0 \\ &\quad \text{by assumpt} \quad \text{since } \{K_j v_n\} \quad \text{by assumpt} \\ &\quad \|K - K_j\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{Cauchy.} \quad \|K - K_j\| \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\{Kv_n\}$ is Cauchy and $K(S) \subset H$ complete, $Kv_n \rightarrow y \in H$.

(Since K is bounded; $\|Kx\| \leq \|Kx - K_n x\| + \|K_n x\| \leq M \|x\|$; it is continuous)

K bounded, $\{\phi_n\}$ orthonormal $\Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} K\phi_n = 0$

Suppose not, then there is a subsequence $\{\psi_n\}$ such that

$$(1) \quad \|K\psi_n\| \geq \varepsilon > 0, \quad \forall n$$

Since $\|\psi_n\| = 1$, $\{\psi_n\}$ is a bounded set.

Thus, the set $\{K\psi_n\}$ has a convergent subsequence due to K being compact.

Let $\{f_n\}$ be that sequence such that

$$Kf_n \rightarrow f \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

$$(2) \quad \langle Kf_n, f \rangle \rightarrow \|f\|^2 \neq 0 \quad \text{due to (1)}$$

On the other hand f_n are orthogonal.

Bessel's inequality for orthogonal $\{f_n\}$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle f_n, g \rangle^2 \leq \|g\|^2 < \infty \Rightarrow \langle f_n, g \rangle \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

Using this (using K bounded $\Rightarrow K^*$ exists)

$$\langle Kf_n, f \rangle = \langle f_n, K^*f \rangle = \langle f_n, g \rangle \rightarrow 0$$

But this contradicts (2). □

EXAMPLE ILLUSTRATING (C3)

Let $S = \{\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_n, \dots\}$ be the countable collection of orthonormal fns in Hilbert space H . They need not be a basis for H .

Clearly S is bounded since $\|\phi\| = 1, \forall \phi \in S$.

However

$$\begin{aligned}\|\phi_n - \phi_m\|^2 &= \langle \phi_n - \phi_m, \phi_n - \phi_m \rangle \\ &= \|\phi_n\|^2 - 2\langle \phi_n, \phi_m \rangle + \|\phi_m\|^2 \\ &= 2 - 2\delta_{nm}\end{aligned}$$

Thus the distance between different elements is $\sqrt{2}$.

Any convergent subsequence chosen from $\{x_n\} \subset S$ must be Cauchy. Therefore any $\{x_n\} \subset S$ which has a convergent subsequence $\{y_n\}$ must have $y_n = \phi_N, N$ fixed, $\forall n \geq N$.

Clearly, not all sequences

COMPACT SETS ARE CLOSED AND BOUNDED.

Let S be compact and choose $\{x_n\} \subset \bar{S}$ so that $x_n \rightarrow x \in \bar{S}$. Since S is compact $\exists \{x_{n_k}\} \subset S$ s.t. $x_{n_k} \rightarrow x' \in S$.

$$\|x - x'\| \leq \underbrace{\|x - x_n\|}_{\downarrow 0} + \underbrace{\|x_n - x_{n_k}\|}_{\text{Cauchy}} + \underbrace{\|x_{n_k} - x'\|}_{\downarrow 0}$$

Hence $x = x'$.

Bounded Suppose not then $\exists \{x_n\} \subset S$ such that

$$\|x_n\| \geq n$$

Every subsequence is unbounded and hence cannot converge since convergent sequences must be bounded. (Krey pg 77).

PROOF K COMPACT OP \Rightarrow K BOUNDED OPERATOR

Define

$$S = \{x \in H : \|x\| = 1\} \quad \text{unit sphere}$$

$$\|Kx\| = \|x\| \|K\hat{x}\| \quad \hat{x} \in S$$

$$\leq M \|x\|$$

where $M < \infty$ since K compact $\Rightarrow K(S)$ bounded
 $\Rightarrow \|K\hat{x}\| < M < \infty$ for some M .

REMARK K^* therefore exists

Compact Sets, Operator norms, Compact operators

In the following definitions and theorems, H is a Hilbert space though most definitions hold for general metric and/or normed vector spaces.

Compact Sets

Let $S \subset H$ be some set. Then

- | | | |
|--|-----------------------|---|
| (C1) S bounded | \Leftrightarrow | $\exists M > 0$ s.t. $\ x\ \leq M, \forall x \in S$ |
| (C2) S compact | \Leftrightarrow | Every sequence $\{x_n\} \subset S$ contains a convergent subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ which converges to $x \in S$ |
| (C3) S bounded | $\not\Leftrightarrow$ | S compact |
| (C4) S (sequentially) compact | \Rightarrow | S closed and bounded |
| (C5) $S \equiv \{x \in H : \ x\ \leq 1\}$ compact | \Rightarrow | $\dim(H) < \infty$ |

Definition: Bounded Operator An operator $L : H \rightarrow H$ is bounded if there exists some $M > 0$ such that

$$\|Lx\| \leq M \|x\| \quad \forall x \in H \quad (1)$$

Definition: Operator norm For any bounded operator $L : H \rightarrow H$ we define the operator norm as

$$\|L\|_{op} \equiv \max_{\|x\|=1} \|Lx\| = \max_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|Lx\|}{\|x\|} \quad (2)$$

Definition: Compact Operator An operator $K : H \rightarrow H$ is compact if it transforms bounded sets into compact sets.

Compact and Bounded linear operators

- | | | |
|--|-------------------|--|
| (CO1) K compact | \Rightarrow | K bounded |
| (CO2) K linear, $\dim(R(K)) < \infty$ | \Rightarrow | K compact |
| (CO3) K bounded, $\{\phi_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ orthonormal | \Rightarrow | $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} K\phi_n = 0$ |
| (CO4) K_n compact, $\ K_n - K\ _{op} \rightarrow 0$ | \Rightarrow | K compact |
| (CO5) K compact | \Leftrightarrow | $\{x_n\} \subset H$ bounded \Rightarrow
$\{Lx_n\}$ has a convergent subsequence |
| (CO6) K_1, K_2 compact | \Rightarrow | $K_1 + K_2$ compact |

Combining (CO4) and (CO6) we see the space of compact operators is a closed linear space using the operator norm.

If $\mu = \frac{1}{\lambda}$ is an eigenvalue of K

$$N(I - \lambda K) = E_{\mu}(K) \neq \{0\}$$

Then,

$$(I - \lambda K)u = f$$

has a solution $\Leftrightarrow \langle f, v \rangle = 0, \forall v \in E_{\mu}(K)$.

Solution is not unique then since if u is a solution then for any $v \in E_{\mu}(K)$

$$\begin{aligned}(I - \lambda K)(u+v) &= (I - \lambda K)u + (I - \lambda K)v \\ &= (I - \lambda K)u + 0 \\ &= f\end{aligned}$$

demonstrates $u+v$ is also a solution.

SOLUTION OF INTEGRAL EQNS WITH (COMPACT) SELF ADJOINT K.

Suppose that we know

$$(1) \quad (I - \lambda K)u = f$$

has a soln, and K compact, self adjoint. Eqn (1) \Leftrightarrow

$$\lambda Ku = u - f = g$$

Since $g \in R(K)$ can expand $g(x)$ in terms of (nonzero) eigenfn's of $K = K^*$

$$(2) \quad g(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle g, \phi_n \rangle \phi_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n \phi_n(x)$$

Now since $u(x) = f(x) + g(x)$ from (1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} u - \lambda Ku &= f \\ f + g - \lambda K(f + g) &= f \\ g - \lambda Kg &= \lambda Kf \end{aligned}$$

But since K is continuous and $K\phi_n = \mu_n \phi_n$

$$(3) \quad \lambda Kf = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n (1 - \lambda \mu_n) \phi_n(x)$$

Again $Kf \in R(K)$ so

$$Kf = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle Kf, \phi_n \rangle \phi_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle f, K^* \phi_n \rangle \phi_n$$

$$(4) \quad Kf = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_n \langle f, \phi_n \rangle \phi_n(x) \quad f_n = \langle f, \phi_n \rangle$$

Using this in (3) it follows from orthogonality of ϕ_n .

$$g_n(1 - \lambda \mu_n) = \lambda \mu_n \langle f, \phi_n \rangle$$

so that

$$g_n = \frac{\lambda \mu_n \langle f, \phi_n \rangle}{(1 - \lambda \mu_n)}$$

But $u(x) = f(x) + g(x)$ so

$$u(x) = f(x) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda \mu_n \langle f, \phi_n \rangle}{(1 - \lambda \mu_n)} \phi_n(x)$$

$$u(x) = f(x) + \lambda (Rf)(x) = (I + \lambda R)f$$

where

$$(Rf)(x) = \int_a^b r(x, y; \lambda) f(y) dy$$

$(I - \lambda K)^{-1}$
is resol. op

is the ~~RESOLVENT OPERATOR~~ and the resolvent kernel

$$r(x, y; \lambda) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_n \phi_n(x) \phi_n(y)}{(1 - \lambda \mu_n)}$$

REMARK R fails to exist if $\lambda = \frac{1}{\mu_n}$. If so $N(I - \lambda K) \neq \{0\}$ so soln not unique. Also, soln exists only if $\langle f, \phi_n \rangle = 0$ for case $\lambda = \frac{1}{\mu_n}$. Here ϕ_n in $N((I - \lambda K)^*)$.

K compact, self adjoint, $K: H \rightarrow R(K) \subset H$

Let $\lambda_n \in \mathbb{R}$, $K\phi_n = \lambda_n\phi_n$, $\|\phi_n\| = 1$.

$$(\lambda I - K)u = f, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$$

$\lambda \neq \lambda_n$ Since $R_\lambda \equiv \lambda I - K$ has closed range, self adjoint and compact, Fredholm alternative applies. But $N(\lambda I - K)^* = \{0\}$ so $\langle f, v \rangle = 0 \quad \forall v \in N(\lambda I - K)^*$ and all $f \in H$. But R_λ self adjoint, compact $\Rightarrow \{\phi_n\}$ complete over H . Let

$$u = \sum u_n \phi_n \quad f = \sum f_n \phi_n$$

and derive

$$u(x) = R_\lambda^{-1} f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{f_n}{\lambda - \lambda_n} \phi_n(x)$$

$$(R_\lambda^{-1} f)(x) = \int_a^b r_\lambda(x, y; \lambda) f(y) dy$$

$$r_\lambda(x, y; \lambda) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\phi_n(x) \phi_n(y)}{\lambda - \lambda_n}$$

Compare with $(I - \tilde{\lambda} K)u = f$

$$u(x) = f(x) + \int_a^b \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n \phi_n(x) \phi_n(y)}{(1 - \tilde{\lambda} \lambda_n)} \right) f(y) dy$$

$r(x, y; \lambda)$ resolvent kernel

R_λ = resolvent operator.

$\lambda = \lambda_n$ $(\lambda_n I - K)u = f$ has no solution
if

$$\langle f, v \rangle \neq 0 \quad \text{for some } v \in E_{\lambda_n}(K)$$

$\lambda = \lambda_n$ $(\lambda_n I - K)u = f$ has a (nonunique) solution
if

$$\langle f, v \rangle = 0 \quad \forall v \in E_{\lambda_n}(K)$$

If $f \in H$ in this case, let

$$f_{\perp} \equiv f - \sum_{k=1}^N \langle f, \phi_k \rangle \phi_k$$

where $E_{\lambda_n}(K) = \text{span}\{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_N\}$. Then

$$(\lambda_n I - K)u = f_{\perp}$$

$$u(x) = f(x) + \int_a^b \left(\sum_{\lambda_j \neq \lambda_n} \frac{\lambda_j \phi_j(x) \phi_j(y)}{\lambda_n - \lambda_j} - \sum_{\lambda_j = \lambda_n} \phi_j(x) \phi_j(y) \right) f(y) dy$$

$$r_{\perp}(x, y, \lambda_n)$$

Pseudo-resolvent kernel.

Pseudo resolvents

Let $\lambda_k = \frac{1}{\mu_k}$ where μ_k is an eigenvalue of selfadj. compact K . Then

$$(I - \lambda_k K) u = f$$

cannot have a unique solution. A solution exists only if $f \perp N(I - \lambda_k K)$. Thus

$$(I - \lambda_k K) u = f - \sum_{j=1}^N \langle f, \phi_j \rangle \phi_j = \hat{f}$$

where $E_{\mu_k}(K) = \text{span}\{\phi_i\}$ will have a soln since

$$\hat{f} \perp N(I - \lambda_k K).$$

In this case

$$u(x) = \hat{f}(x) + \lambda_k \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_i \phi_i(x) \langle \hat{f}, \phi_i \rangle}{1 - \lambda_k \mu_i}$$

reduces to

$$u(x) = f(x) + \sum_{\mu_j \neq \mu_k} \frac{\mu_j \phi_j(x) \langle f, \phi_j \rangle}{\mu_k - \mu_j} - \sum_{\mu_j = \mu_k} \phi_j(x) \langle f, \phi_j \rangle$$

$$u(x) = f(x) + \lambda_k \hat{R} f$$

where \hat{R} called the pseudo resolvent.

Degenerate compact K

$\dim R(K) < \infty \Rightarrow R(K)$ closed. Fred alternative

$$H = R(K) \oplus N(K^*)$$

if K also self adjoint

$$H = R(K) \oplus N(K)$$

Since K compact self adjoint

$$R(K) = \text{span} \{ \phi_i \} \quad K \phi_i = \mu_i \phi_i, \mu_i \neq 0 \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

$$N(K) = \text{span} \{ \phi_i \} \quad K \phi_i = 0, \quad i = n+1, n+2, \dots$$

so $\{ \phi_i \}$ is a basis for H .

Now want to solve

$$(1) \quad (I - \lambda K) u = f$$

If we let

$$u = \sum u_i \phi_i \quad f = \sum f_i \phi_i$$

and substitute into (1)

$$\sum_{i \geq 1} (I - \lambda K) u_i \phi_i = \sum_{i \geq 1} f_i \phi_i$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (1 - \lambda \mu_i) u_i \phi_i + \sum_{i > n} u_i \phi_i = \sum_{i \geq 1} f_i \phi_i$$

For $i \leq n$ find $u_i = f_i / (1 - \lambda \mu_i)$. For $i > n$, $u_i = f_i$