

## Appendix A

Claim: Given  $2\langle Ax_1 - \mu x_1, h \rangle + \langle Ah, h \rangle - \mu \langle h, h \rangle \leq 0 \quad \forall h \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  
it must be true that

$$\langle Ax_1 - \mu x_1, h \rangle = 0 \quad \forall h \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Proof: Spse not. Spse that  $\exists h_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  so that  
 $\langle Ax_1 - \mu x_1, h_0 \rangle \neq 0$ .

Case 1: If  $\langle Ax_1 - \mu x_1, h_0 \rangle > 0$ , then  $2\langle Ax_1 - \mu x_1, h_0 \rangle > 0$ .

And we know that

$$0 < 2\langle Ax_1 - \mu x_1, h_0 \rangle \leq \underbrace{\mu \langle h_0, h_0 \rangle - \langle Ah_0, h_0 \rangle}_{(\text{So this is positive also})}$$

Then we can choose  $\alpha > 0$  but small enough so that

$$0 < 2\langle Ax_1 - \mu x_1, h_0 \rangle > \alpha [\mu \langle h_0, h_0 \rangle - \langle Ah_0, h_0 \rangle]$$

$\Rightarrow$

mult. by  $\alpha$   $2\alpha \langle Ax_1 - \mu x_1, h_0 \rangle > \alpha^2 [\mu \langle h_0, h_0 \rangle - \langle Ah_0, h_0 \rangle]$

$$\Rightarrow 2\langle Ax_1 - \mu x_1, \alpha h_0 \rangle > \mu \langle \alpha h_0, \alpha h_0 \rangle - \langle A(\alpha h_0), \alpha h_0 \rangle$$

$\Rightarrow$

$$\underbrace{2\langle Ax_1 - \mu x_1, \alpha h_0 \rangle + \langle A(\alpha h_0), \alpha h_0 \rangle - \mu \langle \alpha h_0, \alpha h_0 \rangle}_{(*)} > 0$$

Hence  $\alpha h_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  so that  $(*) > 0$ . This contradicts  
our given inequality.

Case 2: If  $\langle Ax_1 - \mu x_1, h_0 \rangle < 0$ , then we break this  
up into 2 subcases  $\rightarrow$  see next page.

Subcase 1: Assume that  $\langle Ax_1 - \mu x_1, h_0 \rangle < 0$  and that

$$2\langle Ax_1 - \mu x_1, h_0 \rangle = \mu \langle h_0, h_0 \rangle - \langle Ah_0, h_0 \rangle.$$

Then choose any  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and

$$\begin{aligned} 2\langle Ax_1 - \mu x_1, \alpha h_0 \rangle &= \alpha [2\langle Ax_1 - \mu x_1, h_0 \rangle] \\ &= \alpha [\mu \langle h_0, h_0 \rangle - \langle Ah_0, h_0 \rangle] \\ &> \alpha^2 [\mu \langle h_0, h_0 \rangle - \langle Ah_0, h_0 \rangle] \\ &= \mu \langle \alpha h_0, \alpha h_0 \rangle - \langle A(\alpha h_0), (\alpha h_0) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have produce  $\hat{h} = \alpha h_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  so that

$$2\langle Ax_1 - \mu x_1, \hat{h} \rangle + \langle A\hat{h}, \hat{h} \rangle - \mu \langle \hat{h}, \hat{h} \rangle > 0$$

(Hence, we have a contradiction of our given inequality.)

Subcase 2: Assume that  $\langle Ax_1 - \mu x_1, h_0 \rangle < 0$  and that

$$2\langle Ax_1 - \mu x_1, h_0 \rangle < \mu \langle h_0, h_0 \rangle - \langle Ah_0, h_0 \rangle$$

Observe that for all  $h$ ,

$$(1) \quad 2\langle Ax_1 - \mu x_1, h \rangle + \langle Ah, h \rangle - \mu \langle h, h \rangle \leq 0 \quad \text{and}$$

$$(2) \quad 2\langle Ax_1 - \mu x_1, -h \rangle + \langle A(-h), (-h) \rangle - \mu \langle -h, -h \rangle \leq 0$$

Simplifying (2) yields (3)

$$(3) \quad -2\langle Ax_1 - \mu x_1, h \rangle + \langle Ah, h \rangle - \mu \langle h, h \rangle \leq 0 \quad \forall h \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

Adding (1) to (3), we have

$$2[\langle Ah, h \rangle - \mu \langle h, h \rangle] \leq 0 \quad \forall h \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

$\Rightarrow$

$$\langle Ah, h \rangle - \mu \langle h, h \rangle \leq 0 \quad \forall h \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

$\Rightarrow$

$$\mu \langle h, h \rangle - \langle Ah, h \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall h \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

Hence, for our particular  $h_0$ , we also know that

$$\mu \langle h_0, h_0 \rangle - \langle Ah_0, h_0 \rangle \geq 0$$

Since  $\langle Ax_1 - \mu x_1, h_0 \rangle < 0$  and since we assume that  $\langle Ax_1 - \mu x_1, h_0 \rangle < \mu \langle h_0, h_0 \rangle - \langle Ah_0, h_0 \rangle$ , we note that we can choose  $\alpha > 0$  so that

$$-2 \langle Ax_1 - \mu x_1, h_0 \rangle > \alpha [\mu \langle h_0, h_0 \rangle - \langle Ah_0, h_0 \rangle]$$

$\Rightarrow$

$$-2\alpha \langle Ax_1 - \mu x_1, h_0 \rangle > \alpha^2 [\mu \langle h_0, h_0 \rangle - \langle Ah_0, h_0 \rangle]$$

$$2 \langle Ax_1 - \mu x_1, -\alpha h_0 \rangle > \mu \langle \alpha h_0, \alpha h_0 \rangle - \langle A(\alpha h_0), (\alpha h_0) \rangle$$

$$2 \langle Ax_1 - \mu x_1, -\alpha h_0 \rangle + \langle A(\alpha h_0), (\alpha h_0) \rangle - \mu \langle \alpha h_0, \alpha h_0 \rangle > 0$$

$$2 \langle Ax_1 - \mu x_1, -\alpha h_0 \rangle + \langle A(-\alpha h_0), (-\alpha h_0) \rangle - \mu \langle -\alpha h_0, -\alpha h_0 \rangle > 0$$

Hence, we have produced  $\hat{h} = -\alpha h_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$

so that

$$2 \langle Ax_1 - \mu x_1, \hat{h} \rangle + \langle A\hat{h}, \hat{h} \rangle - \mu \langle \hat{h}, \hat{h} \rangle > 0$$

Hence, this contradicts our given inequality.

[AND BY CONTRADICTION ARGUMENT, WE'VE PROVEN OUR CLAIM!]