

Math 441 — Exam I
October 7, 1993

1. Suppose that when floating point arithmetic is performed on a certain machine, $c = 2^{-8}$ is the smallest positive machine representable number for which $2^{15} + c > 2^{15}$. What is machine epsilon on this particular machine? Justify your answer.

2. Let

$$A^{(2)} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -2 & -4 & 5 \\ 0 & -3 & 5 & -1 \\ 0 & 6 & 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 3 & -1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- a. Apply one step of Gaussian Elimination **with partial pivoting** to obtain a matrix $A^{(3)}$ with zeros below the first 2 diagonal entries. Use a row interchange strategy which guarantees that **none of the multipliers are larger than 1 in absolute value**.
 - b. Give the lower triangular matrix M and the permutation matrix P for which $A^{(3)} = MP A^{(2)}$.
-

3. The infinite series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k}$ diverges (i.e., the partial sums $S_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k}$ tend to infinity as $n \rightarrow \infty$). However, when I implement the following algorithm in MATLAB on my computer,

```
n = 1; S_{n-1} = 0; S_n = 1;
while S_{n-1} ≠ S_n,
    n = n + 1;
    S_{n-1} = S_n;
    S_n = S_n + 1/n;
end
```

the algorithm terminates (after running for several days) with finite $n \approx 2.2983 \times 10^{14}$ and a finite sum $S_n \approx 19.5951$.

- a. Explain exactly why this happens. Machine epsilon on my machine is $2^{-52} \approx 2.2204 \times 10^{-16}$.
 - b. What would be the effect of a **smaller** value of machine epsilon? Would the values of n and S_n at termination be larger or smaller? Explain your answer.
-

4. Let

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 \\ -3 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad D = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{and } \mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ -5 \\ 8 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Make intelligent use of the decomposition $A = LDL^T$ to solve the system $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$.

5. Below is an algorithm to compute the Cholesky factorization of a tridiagonal symmetric positive definite $n \times n$ matrix A , i.e., $A = R^T R$, where R is upper bidiagonal. Assume the diagonal entries of A are stored in an $n \times 1$ vector A_{diag} and the upper bidiagonal entries of A are stored in an $(n - 1) \times 1$ vector A_{upper} . There is no need to store the lower bidiagonal entries, since A is symmetric. This algorithm stores the diagonal entries of R in an $n \times 1$ vector R_{diag} and the upper bidiagonal entries in an $(n - 1) \times 1$ vector R_{upper} .

$$R_{diag}(1) = \sqrt{A_{diag}(1)}$$

for $k = 1, \dots, n - 1$

$$R_{upper}(k) = A_{upper}(k) / R_{diag}(k)$$
$$R_{diag}(k + 1) = \sqrt{A_{diag}(k + 1) - R_{upper}(k)^2}$$

end

Assume that multiplications, divisions, and square roots have equal computational cost. Ignore the cost of additions, subtractions, and indexing.

- Determine the computational cost (in terms of the number of multiplications, divisions, and square roots) of the above Cholesky factorization algorithm. Provide details to justify your answer.
- Making **intelligent** use of the above algorithm, what is the **total cost** of solving the system $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$, where \mathbf{b} is an $n \times 1$ vector? Explain your answer. **Hint:** Use forward elimination and back substitution on bidiagonal matrices.
- How does this compare to the cost of solving $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ when A is full and nonsymmetric? Give the asymptotic ratio of the costs.